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In recent years the theory of semigroups of Lie groups has been intensively studied. The problem of calculating the automorphisms of connected subsemigroups generates particular interest. For Abelian Lie groups this problem has been completely solved by A.D. Aleksandrov [1]. However, for noncommutative Lie groups, no notable results have been obtained yet (the basic affine Lie group [2,3] and 3 -dimensional Lie groups [4] being exceptions).

In this article we find the automorphisms of connected subsemigroups with quasicontingency of the form $L \times K$ (see Definition 1 below) for the case of the basic affine Lie group. This completely solves the problem of computing the automorphisms of subsemigroups of the basic affine Lie group.

The basic affine Lie group is a connected simply connected real Lie group whose Lie algebra is given, in a suitable basis $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, by nontrivial commutation relations

$$
\left[X_{n}, X_{i}\right]=X_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

Semigroups of the basic affine Lie group and their automorphisms were first analyzed in [2] and, in detail, in [3-6]. Geometrical language was used; and, instead of semigroups, the partial orders generated by them were studied. We should note the article [7], which exerts a great influence on explaining the role of the basic affine Lie group in the theory of Lie semigroups. It was shown that this group occupies a specific position among the ordered Lie groups; later, this was confirmed in [8, 9].

It is important to note that the basic affine Lie group of dimension 4 is the transitive isometry group of the de Sitter stationary universe that was considered by Hoyle and Narlicar as an alternative to the Big Bang theory in cosmology.

Let $G$ be a connected Lie group, and let $P \subset G$ be a semigroup which contains the unity $e$. Put $P_{x} \equiv x \cdot P$, by definition. A bijection $f: G \rightarrow G$ is said to be a $P_{\text {-automorphism if }} f(e)=e$ and $f\left(P_{x}\right)=P_{f(x)}$ for each element $x \in G$. Denote the group of all $P$-automorphisms by $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$.

If $N$ is a one-parameter subgroup, then, given any element $x$, the set $N_{x} \equiv x \cdot N$ is called the line passing through the point $x$. A ray issuing from the point $x$ is a set of the form $L_{x} \equiv x \cdot L, L$ being a one-parameter semigroup containing the unity.

A quasiaffine transformation is defined to be a homeomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G$ which maps every line onto a line.

A set of the form $E_{x} \equiv x \cdot E$, where $E$ is a $k$-dimensional subgroup, is called a $k$-dimensional plane.

A cone $K_{x}$ with vertex $x$ is the union of rays issuing from the point $x$.
For a set $A \subset G$, the closure, the interior, and the boundary of $A$ are denoted by $\bar{A}$, int $A$, and $\partial A$, respectively.

Definition 1. The quasicontingency or $q$-contingency of a set $A \subset G$ at a point $a$ is defined to be the cone formed by all limits of rays issuing from $a$ and passing through $x \in A$, where $x \neq a$ and $x \rightarrow a$. If $a$ is not a limit point of $A$, then $\{a\}$ is called the quasicontingency of $A$ at $a$. We denote the quasicontingency by $\mathrm{qc}(A, a)$.

It is easy to verify that the $q$-contingency is a closed cone and $\mathrm{qc}(A, a)=\mathrm{qc}(\bar{A}, a)$.
From now on we will denote the basic affine lie group of dimension $n$ by $H^{n}$.
Let $L$ be a ray with origin $e$, and let $K$ be a cone with vertex $e$ such that $L$ and $K$ do not lie in

[^0]a common hyperplane and $L \cap K=\{e\}$. We set
$$
L \times K=\bigcup_{x \in A} L(e, x)
$$
where $A=\cup_{x \in K} L_{x}$, and $L(e, x)$ is the ray issuing from $e$ and passing through $x, x \neq e$. The set $L \times K$ is a cone with vertex $e$; also, if $K$ is a semigroup, then $L \times K$ is also a semigroup.

Let $P \subset H^{n}$ be a semigroup containing the unity. For $q c(P, e) \neq L \times K$, the group $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ has been described [3, Theorem 7]. In the present article we shall give a description of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ for the case in which qc $(P, e)=L \times K$.

Let $M \subset H^{n}$ be a subset containing the unity; then, by definition, we set $M_{x} \equiv x \cdot M$, where $x \in H^{n}$. We obtain the family of subsets, $\mathcal{M}=\left\{M_{x}: x \in H^{n}\right\}$. We say that the family $\mathcal{M}$ is preserved by a mapping $f: H^{n} \rightarrow H^{n}$ if, for each point $x \in H^{n}, f\left(M_{x}\right)=M_{f(x)}$.

Let $E$ be a $k$-dimensional subgroup, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ contained in an Abelian subgroup of $H^{n}$. A $k$-dimensional horosphere is defined to be a set $E_{x} \equiv x \cdot E$, where $x \in H^{n}$. A horosphere of dimension 1 is called a horocycle.

Let $L$ be a ray with origin $e$. Let $E$ be a hyperplane containing the unity $e$, and let $L \cap E=\{e\}$. Assume that either the line $N$ which contains $L$ is a horocycle, or $E$ is a hyperhorosphere. Denote by $\lambda$ a subset of $L$ which is homeomorphic to the interval $[0,1]$ so that 0 corresponds to the unity $e$. Put $\lambda_{x} \equiv x \cdot \lambda$, where $x \in H^{n}$; the set $\lambda_{x}$ is called an interval.

Definition 2. A displacement $d_{E \lambda}$ is defined to be a homeomorphism of $H^{n}, n \geq 2$, onto itself such that:

1) $d_{E \lambda}(e)=e$;
2) for every $x \in H^{n}$ we have $d_{E \lambda}\left(\lambda_{x}\right)=\lambda_{d_{E \lambda}(x)}, d_{E \lambda}\left(E_{x}\right)=E_{d_{E \lambda}(x)}$;
3) $\left.d_{E \lambda}\right|_{E}=\mathrm{id} d_{E}$ (i.e., the restriction of $d_{E \lambda}$ to $E$ is the identity mapping).

Let $\partial \lambda=\{e, a\}$. A set of the form $x \cdot(\lambda \backslash\{e, a\})$ is called an open interval with endpoints $x$ and $x \cdot a$.

Definition 3. A quasicylinder $Q(E, \lambda)$ is a subset $M \subset H^{n}$ meeting the following conditions:

1) $M$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\bigcup_{n}\left(M_{n} \cup\left(M \cap E_{n}\right)\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}=a^{n} \cdot E, n$ is an integer, and $M_{n}$ is the union of open intervals with endpoints lying on the planes $E_{n}$ and $E_{n+1}$ (a priori, some $M_{n}$ 's can be empty);
2) $M$ does not admit a representation (1) with the same $E$ and with an interval $\lambda^{\prime} \subset L$ such that $\lambda^{\prime} \neq \lambda$ and $\lambda \subset \lambda^{\prime}$.

For $\lambda=L$, the displacement $d_{E L}$ and the quasicylinder $Q(E, L)$ are well-defined and exist. If $\lambda \neq L$, then there exist $E$ and $\lambda$ such that $d_{E \lambda}$ and $Q(E, \lambda)$ are not defined (for example, they do not exist if $N$ is a horocycle; see the proof of Theorem 1).

Let $P$ be a semigroup which contains the unity. We accept the following local Einstein axiom:
(AE) There is a neighborhood of $e$ whose intersection with $\bar{P} \cap \bar{P}^{-1}$ contains only one element, $e$.
Theorem 1. Let $P$ be a semigroup, in $H^{n}$, containing the unity and satisfying the axiom (AE). Assume that $\mathrm{qc}(P, e)=L \times K, L \subset N(N$ being a horocycle $), K \neq L_{1} \times K_{1}$, and int $q c(P, e) \neq \varnothing$. Then either each continuous $P$-automorphism is quasiaffine, or $P=Q(E, L)$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(\bigcup_{x \in U \cap E} L_{x} \backslash\{x\}\right) \cup(P \cap E), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the hyperplane spanned by $K$; in this case, every continuous $P$-automorphism can be written in the form $f_{0} \circ d_{E L}$, where $f_{0}$ is a quasiaffine transformation, $f_{0}(E)=E$, and $U$ is some set.

Proof. (A) Let $f: H^{n} \rightarrow H^{n}$ be a continuous $P$-automorphism. It follows from [3, Theorem 5] that $C, C=\mathrm{qc}(P, e)$, is a conic semigroup and $f$ is a $C$-automorphism. Hence, it easily follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(E_{x}\right)=E_{f(x)}, \quad f\left(N_{x}\right)=N_{f(x)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left.f\right|_{E}: E \rightarrow E$ preserves the family $\left\{K_{x}: x \in H^{n}\right\}$. According to [3, Theorem 1], $\left.f\right|_{E}$ is quasiaffine. By [3, Theorem 4], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=g \circ \tilde{d}_{E L}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is a quasiaffine transformation, $\left.g\right|_{E}=\left.f\right|_{E},\left.g\right|_{N}=\operatorname{id}_{N}$, and $\tilde{d}_{E L}$ is a displacement. Now we clarify how arbitrary the form of this displacement may be. To this end, we should take into account the fact that $f$ is a $P$-automorphism.
(B) Let us introduce, in $H^{n}$, coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}>-1 / \sin \theta, 0<\theta<\pi$, in which the group operation $x \cdot y$ is given by the rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x \cdot y)_{1} & =\left[\left(x_{1} \sin \theta+1\right)\left(y_{1} \sin \theta+1\right)-1\right] \cdot(\sin \theta)^{-1} \\
(x \cdot y)_{2} & =\left(x_{1} \sin \theta+1\right)\left(y_{1} \cos \theta+y_{2}\right)+x_{1} \cos \theta+x_{2}-(x \cdot y)_{1} \cdot \cos \theta \\
(x \cdot y)_{3} & =\left(x_{1} \sin \theta+1\right) \cdot y_{3}+x_{3}, \\
\ldots & \\
(x \cdot y)_{n} & =\left(x_{1} \sin \theta+1\right) \cdot y_{n}+x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

the coordinates $x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}$ vary along lines passing through $e$ and lying in $E ; x_{2}$ varies along $N$. Also, $x_{2}>0$ on $L$, and $e=(0, \ldots, 0)$.

The coordinate system constructed may be called affine, since in this system any line is given by equations $x_{i}=a_{i} \cdot t+b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, where $t \in(\delta,+\infty)$, and $\delta$ is either a real number or $-\infty$.

As follows from equalities (3), in these coordinates the $P$-automorphism $f$ takes the form

$$
f(x)=\left(\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \varphi\left(x_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

To determine the form of $\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)$, we apply Aleksandrov's method $[1 ; 6.3-6.6]$ (note that in this article $x_{2}$ is denoted by $\xi$ ).

As a result, we obtain

$$
\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}+\vartheta\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

(up to a quasiaffine transformation in $N$ of the form $x_{2} \rightarrow k^{-1} x_{2}$, with $\vartheta$ being a periodic function with periods $\alpha$ 's, $\alpha \neq 0$, such that $\vartheta(\alpha)=0$, and $\alpha \in \partial\left(P_{a} \cap N\right), a \in E$. Thus, we have the following three possibilities:

1. The periods $\alpha \neq 0$ of $\vartheta$ are not divisible by any $\alpha_{0}$. In this case $\vartheta$ is constant; moreover, $\vartheta=0$, since $\vartheta(\alpha)=0$. Consequently, $f$ is quasiaffine along $N$, since $\varphi\left(x_{2}\right) \equiv x_{2}$.
2. All $\alpha \neq 0$ are multiples of some $\alpha_{0}$, where $\alpha_{0}$ is the minimal value with this property. Then $\alpha_{0}$ is a period of $\vartheta$, with $\vartheta$ completely arbitrary in all the other respects.
3. The only admissible value of $\alpha$ is zero. Then $\varphi$ is any homeomorphism.

In case 1 , the displacement $\tilde{d}_{E L}$ is a quasiaffine transformation along $N$; i.e., $f$ is quasiaffine. Also, $P$ cannot be presented in the form (2), for the semigroup (2) admits a nontrivial displacement $d_{E L}$.

In case $3, f$ is an arbitrary homeomorphism along $N$. This means that $P$ has the form (2). Indeed, the boundary, $\partial M$, of $M=P_{a} \cap N$ consists of the single point $\alpha=0$. Hence, for each point $a \in E$, either $P_{a}$ contains the whole ray $L$ (or $L \backslash\{e\}$ ) lying on $N$, or $P_{a} \cap N=\varnothing$. Therefore, the set $P$ intersects every line $N_{b}, b \in E$, in the ray $L_{b}$ (or $L_{b} \backslash\{b\}$ ) if $P_{a} \cap N_{b} \neq \varnothing$. In this case, $P$ is clearly of the form (2).

Now we show that case 2 cannot occur. Suppose that it does. Then, for each $a \in E, P_{a}$ intersects $N$ in intervals which have lengths divisible by $\alpha_{0}$ (with respect to the Euclidean metric that can be defined on $N$ ). Let $E_{n}=a_{n} \cdot E$, where $E_{0}=E, a_{n} \in N, n$ is an integer, and the point $a_{n+1}$ is
located on $N$ at a distance $\alpha_{0}$ from the point $a_{n}$. Then $f$ keeps each $E_{n}$ invariant, for $\vartheta\left(n \cdot \alpha_{0}\right)=0$. Since $\vartheta$ can arbitrarily vary on intervals $\left(n \cdot \alpha_{0},(n+1) \cdot \alpha_{0}\right)$, it follows that each line $N_{b}, b \in E$, intersects $P$ in intervals with endpoints lying on the planes $E_{n}$. In the same way, $\left(H^{n} \backslash P\right) \cap N_{b}$ are intervals with endpoints lying on $E_{n}$. However, an analogous statement holds for sets $P_{a} \cap N$ and $\left(H^{n} \backslash P_{a}\right) \cap N$, where $a \in E$ is an arbitrary point. Since $E$ is not a horosphere; by moving $a$ into $e$ via a left translation, we find that the sets

$$
a^{-1} \cdot\left(P_{a} \cap N\right)=P \cap\left(a^{-1} \cdot N\right)
$$

and

$$
a^{-1} \cdot\left[\left(H^{n} \backslash P_{a}\right) \cap N\right]=\left(H^{n} \backslash P\right) \cap\left(a^{-1} \cdot N\right)
$$

are intervals with endpoints which do not necessarily belong to $E_{n}$. Contradiction.
Thus, either $f$ is quasiaffine, and $P$ is not of the form (2), or $P$ is of the form (2), and $f=f_{0} \circ d_{E L}$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. Let $P$ be a semigroup, in $H^{n}$, which contains the unity and satisfies the axiom (AE). Suppose that $\mathrm{qc}(P, e)=L \times K, K \subset E(E$ being a hyperhorosphere $), K \neq L_{1} \times K_{1}$, and $\operatorname{int} \mathrm{qc}(P, e) \neq$ $\varnothing$. Then either every continuous $P$-automorphism is quasiaffine; or $P$ is a quasicylinder, $Q(E, \lambda)$ (the case $\lambda=L$ is not excepted), and $f=f_{0} \circ d_{E}, f_{0}$ being a quasiaffine transformation.

Proof. We repeat section (A) of the proof of Theorem 1 to establish that $f$ is of the form (4) and equalities (3) hold.

Let $h=g^{-1} \circ f$, i.e., $h=\tilde{d}_{E L}$. To clarify the form of $\left.h\right|_{N}$, we take into account the fact that $f$ is a $P$-automorphism. Let us introduce, in $H^{n}$, coordinates $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, u_{1}>0$, in which the group operation looks like

$$
a \cdot b=\left(a_{1} \cdot b_{1}, a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2}, \ldots, a_{1} b_{n}+a_{n}\right), \quad e=(1,0, \ldots, 0)
$$

[2]; each quasiaffine transformation may be represented by linear expressions; and, moreover, every line is defined by relations of the form $k_{i} t+\mu_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, where $t$ is a parameter. Now, it is easy to verify that $h$ maps $P$ onto the semigroup $h(P)$, i.e., $h$ maps the left-invariant family $\left\{P_{x}: x \in H^{n}\right\}$ onto the left-invariant family $\left\{h\left(P_{x}\right): x \in H^{n}\right\}$.

It is evident that $h\left(N_{x}\right)=N_{h(X)}, h\left(E_{x}\right)=E_{h(X)},\left.h\right|_{E}=\operatorname{id}_{E}, h(e)=e$.
Let $M_{a}=N_{a} \cap P, M_{a}^{\prime}=N_{a} \cap h(P)$, where $a \in E$. Since $h$ is a homeomorphism, the sets $M_{a}$ and $M_{a}^{\prime}=h\left(M_{a}\right)$ are topologically equivalent.

Introduce, on $N_{a}$, a left-invariant metric $\rho$ induced by the Lobachevsky metric [2, 3]:

$$
d s^{2}=u_{1}^{-2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} d u_{i}^{2}
$$

Note that $h$ maps the point of $\partial M_{a}$ nearest to $E$ (with respect to $\rho$ ) into the point of $\partial M_{a}^{l}$ nearest to $E$; and, in general, the inequality $\rho\left(b_{1}, E\right)<\rho\left(b_{2}, E\right)$ with $b_{i} \in \partial M_{a}(i=1,2)$ implies the inequality $\rho\left(h\left(b_{1}\right), E\right)<\rho\left(h\left(b_{2}\right), E\right)$. Let $\alpha\left(b_{a}\right)=E_{b_{a}} \cap N$ with $b_{a} \in \partial M_{a}$. Then $h\left(\alpha\left(b_{a}\right)\right)=\alpha\left(h\left(b_{a}\right)\right)$. Put $A=\left\{\alpha\left(b_{a}\right): a \in E\right\}, A^{\prime}=\left\{h\left(\alpha\left(b_{a}\right)\right): a \in E\right\}$, and denote the elements of $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ by $\alpha$, $\beta, \ldots$ and $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \ldots$, respectively, in such a way that $\alpha^{\prime}=h(\alpha), \beta^{\prime}=h(\beta)$, etc. By the above, the inequality $\rho(\alpha, E)<\rho(\beta, E)$ results in $\rho\left(\alpha^{\prime}, E\right)<\rho\left(\beta^{\prime}, E\right)$.

If $A$ contains only one element, $\alpha=e$, then, obviously, $P=Q(E, L)$, and $h$ is a displacement, $d_{E L}$. We can show this by analogy to case 3 in section (B) of the proof of Theorem 1. Thus, $f=f_{0} \circ d_{E L}$. Let $A$ contain at least two elements. Choose $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \neq e, \alpha \in E, \alpha=E_{b} \cap N, b \in \partial M_{a}$, and suppose that $b$ is the point of $\partial M_{a}$ nearest to $E$. Then $b^{2} \in b \cdot \partial M_{a}=b \cdot \partial\left(N_{a} \cap P\right)=\partial\left(N_{b a} \cap P_{b}\right)$, and $b^{2}$ is the point of $\partial\left(N_{b a} \cap P_{b}\right)$ nearest to $E$. In general, the point $b^{2}$ plays the same role with respect to $E_{b}$ as that of $b$ with respect to $E$. Let $\beta=E_{b^{2}} \cap N$. Since each left translation transforming $b$ into $\alpha$ keeps each horosphere $E_{x}$ invariant and preserves the family $\left\{N_{x}: x \in H^{n}\right\}$, we have
$\rho(e, \beta)=2 \rho(e, \alpha)$. The map $h$ preserves this construction, and, if $\alpha^{\prime}=h(\alpha), \beta^{\prime}=h(\beta)$, then, as above, $\rho\left(e, \beta^{\prime}\right)=2 \rho\left(e, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$, by the left-invariance of the family $\left\{h\left(P_{x}\right): x \in H^{n}\right\}$. Repeating the procedure, consider the point $b^{3} \in \partial\left(N_{b^{2} a} \cap P_{b^{2}}\right)$ and find a point $\gamma \in N$ such that $\rho(e, \gamma)=3 \rho(e, \alpha)$ and $h(\gamma)=\gamma^{\prime} \in N$ with $\rho\left(e, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=3 \rho\left(e, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$. Continuing in this manner, we generate a sequence of points $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset N$ such that $\alpha_{1}=\alpha, \alpha_{2}=\beta, \alpha_{3}=\gamma, \ldots, \rho\left(e, \alpha_{n}\right)=n \rho(e, \alpha), \rho\left(\alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n+1}\right)=\rho(e, \alpha)$, and, moreover, if $\alpha_{n}^{\prime}=h\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$, then $\rho\left(e, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right)=n \rho\left(e, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ and $\rho\left(\alpha_{n}^{\prime}, \alpha_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(e, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$. If such a sequence can be constructed for any point $\alpha, \alpha \in A, \alpha \neq e$, then we say that $h: N \rightarrow N$ has $\alpha$-periodicity.

The following two cases arise: first, among the points of $A$ there exists a point $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0} \neq e$, such that each $\rho(e, \alpha), \alpha \in A$, is divisible by $\rho\left(e, \alpha_{0}\right)$; second, there is no such a point.

In the first case, there exist a sequence $\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}\right)_{n}\right\} \subset N$ and a quasiaffine transformation $F: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\left.F\right|_{E}=\mathrm{id}_{E}$, and $\left.F\right|_{N}$ is a dilatation. Moreover, $\left(F^{-1} \circ h\right)\left(\alpha_{0}\right)_{n}=\left(\alpha_{0}\right)_{n}($ for $n=1,2, \ldots)$ and, on each interval $\left(\left(\alpha_{0}\right)_{n},\left(\alpha_{0}\right)_{n+1}\right) \subset N, F^{-1} \circ h$ is an arbitrary homeomorphism. In other words, $f=f_{0} \circ d_{E}$ and $P=Q(E, \lambda)$.

In the second case, we can assert that $\left.h\right|_{N}$ is quasiaffine, and so $\left.f\right|_{N}$ is quasiaffine. Since, in addition, $\left.f\right|_{E}$ is quasiaffine, $f$ is quasiaffine in $H^{n}$. Indeed, in this case, there are sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset N\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} \neq e\right)$ such that $\rho\left(e, \alpha_{1}\right)$ is not a multiple of $\rho\left(e, \beta_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\alpha_{n}^{\prime}=h\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=\alpha_{n}$ (if not, we apply a dilatation along $N$ ). Let $\beta_{n}^{\prime}=h\left(\beta_{n}\right)$, $\beta_{n}^{\prime} \neq \beta_{n}$ and, for definiteness, assume $\rho\left(e, \beta_{1}\right)<\rho\left(e, \beta_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ (if not, substitute $h^{-1}$ for $h$ ). Suppose that $\rho\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon>0$. Since $\rho\left(e, \alpha_{1}\right)$ is not a multiple of $\rho\left(e, \beta_{1}\right)$, there are suitable numbers $k$ and $m$ satisfying the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho\left(e, \alpha_{m}\right)-\rho\left(e, \beta_{k}\right)<\varepsilon . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-periodicity of $h$, we have $\rho\left(e, \beta_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(e, \beta_{k}\right)+k \cdot e, \rho\left(e, \alpha_{m}\right)=\rho\left(e, \alpha_{m}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $\rho\left(e, \beta_{k}^{\prime}\right)>\rho\left(e, \alpha_{m}\right)$, contrary to the inequality $\rho\left(e, \alpha_{m}\right)>\rho\left(e, \beta_{k}^{\prime}\right)$, which follows from (5), since $h$ is a homeomorphism. Thus, $\beta_{n}^{\prime}=\beta_{n}$. Since $\rho\left(e, \alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\rho\left(e, \beta_{1}\right)$ are relatively prime, and $h$ agrees with the identity on $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, it follows that $h$ is the identity on $N$; i.e., $f$ is quasiaffine. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3. Let $P$ be a semigroup, in $H^{n}$, containing the unity and satisfying the axiom (AE). Assume that $\mathrm{qc}(P, e)=L \times K, K \neq L_{1} \times K_{1}, K \subset E$, the hyperplane $E$ is not a horosphere, and $L$ does not lie on a horocycle. Then every continuous $P$-automorphism is quasiaffine.

Proof. The claim follows from [3, Theorem 4(7)].
Remark. Without the requirement $K \neq L_{1} \times K_{1}, P$ may be a quasicylinder along several directions. For example, if $P=L \times L_{1} \times K_{1}$, then it is possible that $P=Q(E, L)$ and $P=Q\left(E_{1}, L_{1}\right)$, where $E_{1}$ is the span of $L$ and $K_{1}$, and $f=f_{0} \circ d_{E L} \circ d_{E_{1} L_{1}}$. This can be proved by using the methods introduced in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.
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